Keeping chromatin quiet
How nucleosome remodeling restores heterochromatin after replication
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Disruption of chromatin during replication poses a major challenge to the maintenance and integrity of genome organization. It creates the need to accurately reconstruct the chromatin landscape following DNA duplication but there is little mechanistic understanding of how chromatin based modifications are restored on newly synthesized DNA. ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling activities serve multiple roles during replication and recent work underscores their requirement in the maintenance of proper chromatin organization. A new component of chromatin replication, the SWI/SNF-like chromatin remodeler SMARCAD1, acts at replication sites to facilitate deacetylation of newly assembled histones. Deacetylation is a pre-requisite for the restoration of epigenetic signatures in heterochromatin regions following replication. In this way, SMARCAD1, in concert with histone modifying activities and transcriptional repressors, reinforces epigenetic instructions to ensure that silenced loci are correctly perpetuated in each replication cycle. The emerging concept is that remodeling of nucleosomes is an early event imperative to promote the re-establishment of histone modifications following DNA replication.

Introduction

During normal growth and cell division eukaryotic chromosomes and all their structural and functional features must be duplicated to pass an intact genome from one generation to the next. This involves both the accurate replication of DNA sequences and the subsequent faithful reconstruction of chromatin including vital specialized domains such as centromeres and telomeres. Indeed, replication must be considered in the context of chromatin where nucleosomes block access to the underlying DNA. It is becoming increasingly apparent that remodeling of nucleosomes accompanies all steps of the replication process (Fig. 1). To plough through chromatin the replication machinery needs to mobilize and evict nucleosomes and there is evidence that nucleosome remodeling helps to clear the path for efficient progression of the replication fork. Remodeling may also be involved in the initiation of replication and origin definition, although less is known about this aspect. Behind the replication fork, nucleosome remodeling contributes to the reformation of higher order chromatin structures. This includes adjustment of appropriate nucleosome spacing and post-translational histone modification patterns to match the original patterns of a given domain. Restoring the local chromatin organization is a critical step that ensures genome stability and preserves the proliferation status and identity of a cell. Consequently, chromatin remodeling is an essential function for the faithful maintenance of both genetic and epigenetic information in dividing cells. Fully understanding the underlying remodeling pathways and their specific players is an important goal as these are candidates for regulating DNA/chromatin replication and either preserving or switching an epigenetic state. Here we illustrate emerging principles by considering specific remodeling factors involved in replication. The

Key words: epigenetics, chromatin, ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling, replication, histone modifications, SMARCAD1

Abbreviations: NuRD, nucleosome remodeling and histone deacetylation; KAP1, Krüppel-associated box-associated protein 1; PCNA, proliferating cell nuclear antigen; SMARCAD1, SWI/SNF-related, matrix-associated actin-dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily a, containing DEAD/H box 1; WICH, WSTF-ISWI chromatin remodeling complex

Submitted: 10/07/11
Revised: 10/27/11
Accepted: 10/27/11
http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/cc.10.23.18558
*Correspondence to: Jacqueline E. Mermoud; Email: jacqueline.mermoud@babraham.ac.uk
emphasizes how this is achieved.\textsuperscript{9,10} Chromatin remodeling provides mechanistic insights into functional chromatin domains after replication and also functions in the restart of replication and provides mechanistic insights into how this is achieved.\textsuperscript{9,10}

**ATP-Dependent Remodelers Play Integral Roles during Replication**

ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling is typically performed by multi-protein complexes with a conserved catalytic core related to the yeast SWI/SNF ATPase.\textsuperscript{3} This constitutes a large group of enzymes which exert their distinct functions upon hydrolysis of ATP to drive transitions in chromatin structure. There are at least four different families of SWI/SNF-like factors, defined by sequence variations in both their ATPase and flanking domains.\textsuperscript{3} The role of ATP-dependent chromatin remodelers is most intensely studied in the context of transcriptional regulation and DNA repair, yet members of all remodeler families are also targeted to sites of ongoing replication in yeast and metazoans where they serve multiple roles.\textsuperscript{2,3} Among them, INO80 facilitates S-phase progression and also functions in the restart of stalled replication forks.\textsuperscript{5,11-13} The ACF complex, consisting of the ATPase SNF2h and Acf1, appears to facilitate the progression of replication through highly condensed mammalian chromatin; its depletion causes a delay in S-phase progression that is reversible upon chromatin decondensation.\textsuperscript{4} This suggests a function for ACF in establishing an accessible chromatin structure ahead of the replication machinery.\textsuperscript{4} Similarly, the SWI/SNF remodeler BrgL apparently accelerates replication elongation since BrgL mutants show reduced incorporation of nucleotide analogs into nascent DNA.\textsuperscript{6}

The requirement for specific remodelers during replication is likely to depend on the nature of the chromatin structure to be duplicated and perhaps on the cell type. Condensed heterochromatin has been proposed to pose a greater challenge for replication both in terms of accessibility, and in terms of restoring the transcriptionally unfavorable environment after DNA duplication is completed.\textsuperscript{8,14} Indeed, several remodeling activities are associated predominantly with replicating constitutive heterochromatin, although their targeting to euchromatic sites could not always be ruled out.\textsuperscript{4,15,16} Mi-2/NuRD operates during replication of pericentric heterochromatin, specifically in rapidly proliferating lymphoid cells.\textsuperscript{16} This could indicate the need for specialized remodeling activities in cell types with an accelerated S phase to deal with the demands of speed and fidelity.

Remodeling complexes that serve roles related to chromatin assembly and maturation include Mi2/NURD, WICH and SMARCAD1.\textsuperscript{9,16-19} Depletion of the WICH complex, comprising SNF2h and the Williams Syndrome Transcription Factor WSTF, is accompanied by an increase in heterochromatin marks following S phase.\textsuperscript{17} WICH seems to be responsible for keeping chromatin accessible after its assembly. It was proposed that WICH could contribute to epigenetic inheritance by allowing the binding of factors involved in replication of chromatin states in the wake of the replication fork.\textsuperscript{18} Defining the function of SMARCAD1 has reinforced the idea that ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling factors play a significant part in the transmission of epigenetic information as discussed further below.

**Maintaining Silence through Remodeling: A New Player**

SMARCAD1 is a mammalian SWI/SNF-like protein and we have recently reported that it is required for the restoration of heterochromatin organization after replication.\textsuperscript{9,10} Heterochromatin promotes genome stability by repressing transcription and illegitimate recombination between repetitive DNA elements.\textsuperscript{20,21} In particular, heterochromatic repeats flanking centromeres are important for centromere function, mediating proper chromosome segregation. Maintaining silence through replication is thus of fundamental importance, as failure to do so can trigger aberrant gene expression programs and genome instability with implications for development and disease.\textsuperscript{22,23} Previous studies on heterochromatin replication have emphasized the role of histone chaperones and their complex interplay with histone modifiers and chromatin binding proteins.\textsuperscript{24-29} Depletion of SMARCAD1 in human cancer cell lines leads to the global accumulation of acetylated histones H3 and H4, a
characteristic of an open chromatin structure. Concomitantly, heterochromatin features are lost. These changes depend on an intact ATPase domain, consistent with a function of this remodeling activity in silencing. Loci that display elevated levels of histone acetylation include pericentric heterochromatin repeats which are normally hypoacetylated (Sat1 and NBL2, Fig. 2). The significance of this is emphasized by chromosome segregation defects triggered upon SMARCAD1 depletion. Methylation of lysine 9 on H3 (H3K9me), a hallmark of heterochromatin, is markedly reduced upon SMARCAD1 knock down (Fig. 2). Accordingly, factors required for transcriptional silencing such as heterochromatin protein 1 (HP1), histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) and the co-repressor KAP1 (TIF1β) are delocalized from chromatin (Fig. 2). SMARCAD1 function is not restricted to pericentric heterochromatin but impacts on other transcriptionally silent regions such as telomeres, although the specific underlying pathways may be distinct. Of note, budding and fission yeast SMARCAD1 homologs have also been implicated in gene silencing and maintenance of heterochromatin structures. The fact that interfering with SMARCAD1 function perturbs heterochromatin organization so profoundly is in line with the recognized prerequisite for histone deacetylation in establishing silent chromatin structures and maintaining chromosome stability from yeast to man.22,34-36

Interestingly, the global changes in histone modification patterns observed in SMARCAD1 knockdown cells coincide with S-phase progression. SMARCAD1 is tightly associated with chromatin in S phase and associates with sites of replication. Collectively, these characteristics link SMARCAD1’s role in preserving heterochromatin organization to replication. It is noteworthy that depletion of SMARCAD1 has no severe impact on S-phase progression, implying that it is not essential for DNA replication per se. Given that SMARCAD1 has been shown to frequently bind in the vicinity of transcriptional start sites, it could act by controlling the expression of factors involved in chromatin replication. However, since it co-localizes to newly synthesized DNA we favor the idea that SMARCAD1’s main role is in the assembly or maturation of heterochromatin at replication sites.

Rebuilding Chromatin on Replicated DNA

On newly synthesized DNA, nucleosomes are formed using both recycled and newly synthesized histones (Fig. 1). While parental histones carry posttranslational modifications that characterize the local domain, new histones are mainly unmethylated but are acetylated at specific lysine residues on H4 and H3.40-45 Once assembled into nucleosomes, they become rapidly deacetylated by a mechanism that is poorly understood.43,46 Typical acetylation marks of new histones, for instance acetylation on H4, lysine 12 or on H3 at lysines 14 and/or 18, persist upon SMARCAD1 depletion.5,40,42,43,45 SMARCAD1 is therefore a prime candidate for mediating deacetylation of newly deposited histones. The association of SMARCAD1 with both late replicating heterochromatin and early replicating euchromatin supports a general role in chromatin replication. We propose therefore that SMARCAD1 mediates...
might therefore prime new nucleosomes for H3K9 methylation, contributing to propagation of H3K9 methylation and maintenance of heterochromatin.

Di- and tri-methylation of H3K9 are known to stabilize HP1 binding. In turn, HP1 favors heterochromatin formation by bridging neighboring nucleosomes and recruiting other repressive components such as H3K9 histone methyltransferases and KAP1. An attractive idea is that remodeling by SMARCAD1 initiates replication coupled histone deacetylation and restoration of silencing by facilitating subsequent repressive modifications to lysines. Such a model can account for the observation that SMARCAD1 deficiency leads to increased histone acetylation and a decrease in H3K9 methylation and HP1 binding.

This model fits well with biochemical observations that place SMARCAD1 into a network of proteins that coordinate chromatin duplication by coupling deacetylation of newly assembled histones at all replication sites. Intriguingly, even histone acetylation marks that previously have not been unambiguously connected with replication-coupled chromatin assembly accumulate in SMARCAD1 knock down cells. The reason for this is unclear, but it is conceivable that certain acetylated lysines may not have been detected in earlier studies because they become apparent only upon interfering with SMARCAD1 function. In principle, some hyperacetylation could be a consequence of increased transcription caused by impaired silencing. S-phase specific histone hyperacetylation could also reflect a requirement for an accessible chromatin structure just after replication, for instance to facilitate binding of factors involved in chromatin maturation or DNA repair. Indeed, quantitative mass spectrometry analysis on newly synthesized histones suggests that additional acetylation events take place following their incorporation into nucleosomes.

**Restoring Silence after Replication**

What is the role of deacetylation during chromatin replication? Deacetylation is an acknowledged requirement for chromatin maturation and formation of higher order structures. It is thought to promote the stable deposition of histone H1 and is a critical step to allow the re-establishment of heterochromatin marks such as H3K9 di- and tri-methylation, which are absent from newly synthesized histones. In fact, most histone methylation occurs slowly and stepwise after nucleosome assembly is completed. Acetylation on H3 at lysines 9 and 14, which is increased upon SMARCAD1 deletion, blocks the activity of H3K9 specific histone methyltransferases. Removal of acetylation must occur before methylation can take place, not least because of the antagonism between these modifications at the same lysine residue. SMARCAD1 directed deacetylation might therefore prime new nucleosomes for H3K9 methylation, contributing to propagation of H3K9 methylation and maintenance of heterochromatin.
chromatin modifying activities to replication. SMARCAD1 predominantly associates with factors linked to transcriptional repression, replication and repair (Fig. 3A). Among them are enzymes that catalyze modifications that are altered in SMARCAD1 depleted cells, namely histone deacetylases HDAC1 and HDAC2 and histone H3K9 methyltransferase G9a/GLP (EHMT1–2). Precisely how G9a aids heterochromatin formation has yet to be established as it has both enzymatic and non-enzymatic silencing functions. Association of SMARCAD1 with histone modifying enzymes, proteins that bind modified histones (i.e., HP1γ), transcriptional repressors and factors involved in replication (i.e., PCNA) suggests a potential mechanism by which nucleosome remodeling and re-establishment of appropriate histone modification patterns could be coordinated in vivo during replication (Fig. 4).

While biochemical analysis has identified factors which SMARCAD1 can interact with, open questions concern what subset of proteins function together with SMARCAD1 in a particular context. KAP1 emerged as a stoichiometric component of SMARCAD1 complexes. This protein is an important regulator of chromatin organization during differentiation and development. It has been previously linked to heterochromatin replication and is thought to function as a scaffold that integrates multiple activities required for transcriptional repression. Moreover, ATM-mediated phosphorylation of KAP1 promotes chromatin relaxation required for repair of heterochromatin. Interactions of SMARCAD1 with DNA repair proteins (see Fig. 3) could reflect the intimate link between replication and repair, especially at stalled replication forks, and are consistent with the outcome of a screen for DNA damage response proteins. Notably, SMARCAD1 co-purifies with several other remodeling factors (Fig. 3B). These remodelers could act consecutively and/or cooperatively to break histone DNA contacts and introduce changes in the position and conformation of nucleosomes as required during the stepwise maturation of newly assembled chromatin. The developing picture is one of a large number of ATP-dependent remodelers. This raises the important question of how remodelers are targeted specifically to sites of ongoing replication.

**Directing Remodeling to Sites of Replication**

It is appealing to evoke a targeting strategy that directly couples remodeling enzymes to the replication machinery. This would ensure rapid restoration of heterochromatin domains and allow the remodeler access to newly replicated chromatin regardless of the sequence context. PCNA is an essential player at the replication fork that ensures processivity of DNA polymerases and orchestrates processes related to replication. Many factors implicated in DNA and chromatin replication bind directly to PCNA or through proteins that bind PCNA such as the chromatin assembly factor CAF1. In earlier studies WSTF, a subunit of the WICH remodeling complex, had been shown to bind to replication sites by interacting with PCNA. Many factors implicated in DNA and chromatin replication bind directly to PCNA or through proteins that bind PCNA such as the chromatin assembly factor CAF1. In earlier studies WSTF, a subunit of the WICH remodeling complex, had been shown to bind to replication sites by interacting with PCNA. We found that SMARCAD1 also physically interacts with PCNA both in vitro and in vivo, supporting a model in which SMARCAD1 acts at replication sites to restore heterochromatin organization through a recruitment mechanism involving PCNA.

If this model holds, disrupting the SMARCAD1-PCNA interaction should prevent restoration of repressive chromatin. Most PCNA interacting factors, including WSTF, bind via a conserved motif referred to as the PCNA interacting motif (PIP) box. Several putative PIP boxes were identified in SMARCAD1, yet their mutagenesis had no apparent effect on the observed co-localization of SMARCAD1 with PCNA (data not shown). SMARCAD1 may thus belong to a group of proteins that bind PCNA via different, non-conserved sequences. In an effort to systematically map the interaction site(s) we discovered that there are at least two different regions within SMARCAD1 that can independently co-localize with PCNA (Fig. 5). Multiple interactions with PCNA may help to stabilize SMARCAD1’s association with replication sites, similar to what has been observed for CAF1 and RFCp140.

Besides PCNA there may be additional mechanisms acting in parallel to target SMARCAD1 to ongoing replication. A precedent comes from DNMT1, whose interaction with PCNA is not essential for recruitment to replication foci while...
interaction with another protein, UHRF1/NP95, is critical.\textsuperscript{67,68} Factors or events that increase the affinity for SMARCAD1 within replication sites are likely crucial determinants of its localization. It is noteworthy that a proteomic analysis of SMARCAD1 complexes identified several proteins that are also targeted to replication sites including mismatch repair proteins (MSH2/3/6), HDAC1, HDAC2 and G9a.\textsuperscript{59,72}

It is important to keep in mind that PCNA and other key replication factors are present at all replication foci. This brings into question whether SMARCAD1 has specificity for particular chromatin domains and if so, how could this be achieved? The major interaction partner of SMARCAD1, KAP1, is a prime candidate that could promote targeting of SMARCAD1 to heterochromatin domains. KAP1 is proposed to silence transcription by assembling HDACs and other chromatin modifiers and remodelers at specific loci.\textsuperscript{50,51,55} Moreover, KAP1 is a component of the CAF1-HP1\textsubscript{α} complex involved in heterochromatin replication and associates with pericentric heterochromatin during the retinoic acid induced differentiation of F9 embryonal carcinoma and embryonic stem cells.\textsuperscript{28,73} Yet loss of KAP1 does not abolish the S-phase dependent localization of SMARCAD1 to pericentric heterochromatin, suggesting that association of SMARCAD1 with heterochromatin is not critically dependent on KAP1 (Fig. 6). This does not exclude the existence of other mechanisms that could direct SMARCAD1 activity toward heterochromatin. Nevertheless, we favor a model in which this remodeler acts at all replication sites. This is consistent with SMARCAD1 localization to early, mid- and late replicating DNA and its interaction with proteins that operate in heterochromatin and euchromatin.\textsuperscript{9}

Outlook

It is likely that SMARCAD1 directs histone deacetylation at replication sites through its physical association with HDAC1 and HDAC2. Coupling HDACs with ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling activities within a multi-enzyme complex is a strategy also employed by Mi-2/ NURD, NCoR1, NoRC and the yeast SHREC complexes involved in transcriptional repression.\textsuperscript{77,82} The fact that a functional ATPase domain in SMARCAD1

![Figure 5. Multiple independent regions of SMARCAD1 co-localize with PCNA. (A) Cartoon representation of tagged SMARCAD1 protein and truncations, amino acids (aa) are indicated. (B) Confocal microscopy of SMARCAD1 knockdown HeLa cells expressing Venus-SMARCA1 proteins and CFP-PCNA. Panels on the right shows a merge of the PCNA and SMARCA1 channels. Images depict the autofluorescence of the transfected proteins in fixed cells. Representative cells are shown, images were pseudo-colored and adjusted for brightness and contrast.](image-url)
is required for mediating global histone acetylation levels in cells suggests that chromatin remodeling occurs prior to or concomitantly with deacetylation and emphasizes a functional link between these activities. Remodeling may facilitate histone deacetylation and subsequent methylation by exposing substrates. This is in line with the observation that HDAC1 alone can deacetylate histones in nucleosomes but not in oligonucleosomes.

Likewise, nucleosomal histone H3 is a poor substrate for all characterized H3K9 histone methyltransferases compared with free histone H3. Further support comes from the demonstration that ATP stimulates deacetylase activity of the NuRD remodeler in vitro. As HDAC enzymes display broad substrate specificity one important question is how SMARCAD1 activity is controlled to avoid deacetylation at sites where it may provoke inappropriate silencing. It would also be interesting to know whether SMARCAD1 performs the same basic activity (remodeling coupled histone-deacetylation) or other specialized roles at different loci and cell types and during different cell cycle or developmental stages.

A mutation in a skin-specific isoform of SMARCAD1 is causally linked to adermatoglyphia, also dubbed “immigration delay disease” as it causes the lack of epidermal ridges and consequently fingerprints. SMARCAD1 knock out mice have reduced viability and show growth retardation, skeletal dysplasia and impaired fertility. It will be important to address whether these phenotypes are due to the specific substrate(s) for SMARCAD1 remodeling. In principle this could be a particularly modified histone. One possibility is mono-ubiquitylation which is known to occur on H2A/H2B, though Fun30 has no apparent preference for binding to ubiquitylated chromatin in vitro. Alternative targets include histone variants and the linker histone H1 which co-purifies with SMARCAD1 complexes.

Our characterization of SMARCAD1 has revealed that the repertoire of processes during replication which require SWI/SNF-like proteins is greater that previously appreciated.
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Figure 6. Localization of SMARCAD1 to pericentric heterochromatin is not dependent on KAP1 levels. (A) F9 embryonic carcinoma cells and (B) F9 cells that were engineered to express low levels of KAP1/TIF1β (TIF1β^−/−/TA-TIF1β^−) were differentiated for 7 d by exposure to 1 µM retinoic acid as described by Cammas et al. Representative cells stained for KAP1 (ab22553) and SMARCAD1 are shown, images were adjusted for brightness and contrast. DAPI bright foci mark pericentric heterochromatin.
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